

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problem Mailbox.



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,105	06/11/2002	Michael W. Hawman	EH-10536	3029

30188 7590 07/30/2004

PRATT & WHITNEY  
400 MAIN STREET  
MAIL STOP: 132-13  
EAST HARTFORD, CT 06108

EXAMINER
----------

JARRETT, RYAN A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2125

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/064,105

Applicant(s)

HAWMAN ET AL.

Examiner

Ryan A. Jarrett

Art Unit

2125

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 May 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25,27 and 34-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25,27 and 34-38 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-25, 27, and 34-38 are pending in the application. Claims 1-25, 27, and 34-38 are rejected in the Non-Final Office Action below.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-13, 17, 23, 24, 27, and 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Aoki US 2001/0056310. For example, Aoki discloses a computerized method of tailoring work instructions to perform on a part, comprising the steps of: providing at least one computer having memory with global work instructions therein, said global work instructions relevant to a plurality of parts and to a plurality of work locations (e.g., [0036]); receiving part identifier information and work location information (e.g., Fig. 3 step S3); processing said part identifier information and said work location information (e.g., Fig. 3 step S4); and generating tailored work instructions from said computer responsive to said part identifier information and said work location information (e.g., Fig. 3 step S5); wherein a user reviews said tailored work instructions and performs said tailored work instructions

Art Unit: 2125

accordingly (e.g., Fig. 3 step S6); wherein said processing step comprises searching said global work instructions for tasks relevant to said part and said work location (e.g., Fig. 3 step S4); wherein said part identifier information includes a part number (e.g., [0043]).

4. Claims 14, 20, 21 and 37 (*additionally*) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Madden et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,516,239. Regarding claims 14, 20, and 21, Madden et al. discloses a computerized method of dispositioning of parts, comprising the steps of: providing at least one computer; receiving part identifier information for a first part; determining a disposition of said first part responsive to said first part identifier information; receiving part identifier information for a second part to said computer; determining a disposition of said second part responsive to said second part identifier; determining whether said second part disposition requires adjustment to said first part disposition; and if necessary, modifying said first part disposition (e.g., col. 4 lines 10-54); wherein a user reviews said first and second dispositions and dispositioning said first and second parts accordingly (e.g., col. 15 lines 33-55, e.g., col. 16 lines 29-64, e.g., col. 22 line 47 – col. 23 line 17); wherein said part identifier information includes a part number and a serial number (e.g., col. 4 lines 29-51).

Regarding claim 37, Madden et al. discloses a computer system for dispositioning of parts, comprising: means for receiving part identifier information for a first part and a second part; and means for processing said first and second part

Art Unit: 2125

identifier information to produce first and second part dispositions (e.g., col. 4 lines 10-54).

It is noted that the limitation "wherein said second part disposition may require adjustment to said first part disposition" in claim 37 carries no patentable weight.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 4, 8, 18, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki as applied to claims 3, 7, 17, and 24 above, and further in view of Pappas U.S. Patent No. 6,338,045. Aoki does not explicitly disclose that the part identifier information includes a serial number. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a serial number on the parts of Aoki since Pappas discloses the use of serial numbers in an assembly and maintenance operation as a means of tracking the various parts in the operation (e.g., abstract).

7. Claims 15, 16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki as applied to claims 1, 6, and 12 above, and further in view of Pappas U.S. Patent No. 6,338,045. Aoki does not specifically disclose that the part is a "gas turbine engine part". However, Pappas discloses a method for managing and

Art Unit: 2125

tracking activities and parts in an aircraft assembly and maintenance operation, including jet engine parts (e.g., col. 5 lines 21-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Aoki with Pappas since Pappas teaches that it is desirable to track jet engine parts in an assembly or maintenance operation in order to help prevent the use of unapproved parts in aircraft (e.g., col. 1 line 10 – col. 2 line 3).

8. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Madden et al. as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Pappas U.S. Patent No. 6,338,045. Madden et al. does not specifically disclose that the part is a "gas turbine engine part". However, Pappas discloses a method for managing and tracking activities and parts in an aircraft assembly and maintenance operation, including jet engine parts (e.g., col. 5 lines 21-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Madden et al. with Pappas since Pappas teaches that it is desirable to track jet engine parts in an assembly or maintenance operation in order to help prevent the use of unapproved parts in aircraft (e.g., col. 1 line 10 – col. 2 line 3).

### ***Conclusion***

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan A. Jarrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-4739. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-6:30 M-F.

Art Unit: 2125

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on (703) 308-0538. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan A. Jarrett  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2125

7/25/04



LEO PICARD  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

LEO PICARD  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100